

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/912,166	07/24/2001	Jorg Lahann	MIT9151	3967
75	590 05/05/2004		EXAMINER	
Samuels, Gauthier & Stevens LLP			CHACKO DAVIS, DABORAH	
Suite 3300 225 Franklin St	reet		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Boston, MA 02	- * - :		1756	
			DATE MAILED: 05/05/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
A deinema Antiom	09/912,166	LAHANN ET AL.	$(C\lambda)$			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Daborah Chacko-Davis	1756				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	lress			
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:			•			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment			
5.☑ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	nt(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or l vould be rejected is provided bel	o) will be entered ow or appended.	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:					
Claim(s) allowed: 5.						
Claim(s) objected to: 8,10-12,15-20,22,23 and 31.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6,7,9,13,14,21,24-30 and 32</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b)□ disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth in the final rejection, and the arguments presented are directed towards the unentered amendment. Additionally, Applicants argue that Vaeth's system produces commercial coatings that are found to deposit anisotropically, and that the Applicant's invention results in polymer films with uniform thickness along the entire film. The claims presented recite an anisotropic distribution on the surface of the substrate. Furthermore, Kimoto is depended upon to provide the teaching of the formation of a polymeric coating of uniform thickness.

MARK F. HUFF

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700